Sent Electronically

Rt. Hon. Alex Chalk KC MP, Secretary of State for Justice Rt. Hon. Rishi Sunak MP, Prime Minister of the United Kingdom Rt. Hon. Lucy Frazer KC MP, Secretary of State for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Ms. Julia Lopez MP, Minister of State (Department for Culture, Media and Sport) Rt. Hon. Lord Cameron, Secretary of State for Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Affairs Ms. Shabana Mahmood MP, Shadow Labour Secretary of State for Justice Rt. Hon. Alistair Carmichael MP. Liberal Democrat Spokesperson for Home Affairs, Justice and Northern Ireland Mr. Chris Stephens MP, Shadow SNP Spokesperson (Justice) Mr. Paul Philip, Chief Executive, Solicitors Regulation Authority Mr. Mark Neale, Director-General, The Bar Standards Board Mr. Matthew Hill, Chief Executive, Legal Services Board Mr. Michael O'Flaherty, Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights Ms. Teresa Ribeiro, Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe Representative on Freedom of the Media Mr. Volker Türk, United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights Ms. Irene Khan, United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of the Right to Freedom of **Opinion and Expression** 

10 April 2024

Dear Rt. Hon. Alex Chalk KC MP,

We are contacting you ahead of the committee stage of the <u>Strategic Litigation Against</u> <u>Public Participation Bill</u>, a Private Members' Bill brought by Wayne David MP to support the small but crucial <u>amendment</u> proposed by the UK Anti-SLAPP Coalition. We are closer than ever to establishing a standalone anti-SLAPP law, but we cannot let its proximity stop us from ensuring the Bill does what it is intended to: protecting public interest speech from being silenced by SLAPPs. As drafted we fear the Bill falls short of the necessary protections needed to achieve this goal.

Since the anti-SLAPP amendment was published in the Economic Crimes and Corporate Transparency Act (ECCTA) last year, stakeholders on both sides of the SLAPP debate have raised concerns about the efficacy of the Government's approach. The deficiencies of the ECCTA's anti-SLAPP provisions have been made clear to the Government, yet they have been reproduced in their entirety in the Private Members' Bill. If enacted in its current form, the Bill risks becoming an ineffective, inaccessible, and ultimately redundant legal instrument.

However, there is still an opportunity to remedy this to ensure it is a Bill that will serve its purpose. Currently, the definition of a SLAPP requires a court to make a subjective judgement as to the intent of a claimant in order to determine if the legal action in question can be identified as a SLAPP. This is a notoriously difficult, time-intensive, expensive and uncertain process that would undermine the effective operation of the protections the law provides. Using the subjective test will hinder the early dismissal mechanism that sits at the heart of this Bill, but by making a small but important amendment, we can ensure courts and

judges are able to make timely, consistent and evidence-based determinations of SLAPP cases before legal costs have accrued.

As the Bill comes before the Bill Committee for scrutiny, we call for the Government to support amendments to Clause 2(1) to replace the subjective test with an objective test. This would give SLAPP targets greater certainty, while also providing the clarity courts need to effectively apply the new mechanism.

Refining the definition of public interest in the Bill would further strengthen this piece of legislation. We believe the current definition of public interest could introduce unnecessary uncertainty, which must be avoided for this Bill to be effective. While the examples in the Bill are only illustrative, it is vital that the definition demonstrates the breadth and diversity of public interest reporting to give confidence to public watchdogs.

This close to establishing an Anti-SLAPP Law that is universal in scope, we must ensure it can live up to the expectations of everyone who speaks out in the public interest. Only then will free expression be protected.

We hope that you agree that an Anti-SLAPP Law must be accessible, simple and trusted by public watchdogs to effectively protect free expression.

Kind regards,

Editorial and media senior management Rozina Breen, CEO, The Bureau of Investigative Journalism (TBIJ) Paul Dacre, Editor-in-Chief, DMG media Chris Evans, Editor, The Telegraph **Tony Gallagher**, Editor, The Times Alessandra Galloni, Editor-in-Chief, Reuters Isabel Hilton, Co-Chair, TBIJ **Ian Hislop**, Editor, Private Eye John Micklethwait, Editor-in-Chief, Bloomberg News Zanny Minton Beddoes, Editor-in-Chief, The Economist Paul Radu, Co-Executive Director, OCCRP Richard Sambrook, Co-Chair, TBIJ Aman Sethi, Editor-in-Chief, openDemocracy Drew Sullivan, Publisher, Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project (OCCRP) Ben Taylor, Editor, The Sunday Times Emma Tucker. Editor-in-Chief. The Wall Street Journal Ted Verity, Editor, The Daily Mail Katharine Viner, Editor-in-Chief, The Guardian Paul Webster, Editor, The Observer Franz Wild, Editor, TBIJ

Associations, foundations and media support organisations Lionel Barber, Chairman, The Wincott Foundation Sarah Baxter, Director, Marie Colvin Center for International Reporting Matthew Caruana Galizia, Director, The Daphne Caruana Galizia Foundation Anthony Fargo, Director, Center for International Media Law and Policy Studies
George Freeman, Executive Director, Media Law Resource Center
Alexander Papachristou, Executive Director of the Cyrus R. Vance Center for International Justice
Michelle Stanistreet, General Secretary, National Union of Journalists

Sayra Tekin, Director of Legal, News Media Association

## Lawyers and other legal professionals

Rupert Cowper-Coles, Partner and Head of Media, RPC Matthew Dando, Partner and Head of Media Litigation, Wiggin LLP David Hooper, Media Lawyer and writer on SLAPPs, Author, *Buying Silence* Matthew Jury, Managing Partner, McCue Jury & Partners LLP Baroness Helena Kennedy of the Shaws KC, Director, International Bar Association's Human Rights Institute Nicola Namdjou, General Counsel at Global Witness Gill Phillips, Editorial Legal Consultant David Price KC Pia Sarma, Editorial Legal Director, Times Newspapers Ltd Mark Stephens CBE, Lawyer, Co-Chair International Bar Association Human Rights Committee, Trustee, Index on Censorship Samantha Thompson, Media Defence Lawyer, RPC

## Writers, journalists and authors

Catherine Belton, International investigative reporter, Washington Post, Author, Putin's People Tom Bergin, Author and investigative journalist, Reuters Richard Brooks, Journalist, Private Eye Bill Browder, Author, financier, and Head of Global Magnitsky Justice campaign Tom Burgis, Author and investigations correspondent, The Guardian Paul Caruana Galizia, Reporter, Tortoise Media Bill Emmott, Journalist, author, and former editor-in-chief of The Economist Peter Geoghegan, Journalist and author George Greenwood, Investigations Reporter, The Times Eliot Higgins, Author and journalist Edward Lucas, Author, European and transatlantic security consultant and fellow at the Center for European Policy Analysis (CEPA) Thomas Mayne, Researcher and writer Trevor Phillips, Broadcaster, writer and chair of Index on Censorship Clare Rewcastle Brown, Journalist

## Publishers

José Borghino, Secretary General, International Publishers Association Dan Conway, CEO, Publishers Association Andrew Franklin, Founder and publisher, Profile Books and trustee of Index on Censorship Arabella Pike, Publishing Director, HarperCollins Publishers Nicola Solomon, Chief Executive, Society of Authors

Academics

Peter Coe, Associate Professor in Law, Birmingham Law School, University of Birmingham
 John Heathershaw, Professor of International Relations, University of Exeter
 Andrew Scott, Associate Professor, London School of Economics and Political Science
 Ursula Smartt, Media Lawyer, Associate Professor of Law, Northeastern University London